UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re:)	P.Q. Docket No. 05-0018
)	
MELE TAUFA,)	
)	DECISION AND ORDER
Respondent)	BY REASON OF DEFAULT

- [1] This proceeding was instituted under the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. § 7701 et seq.) (hereinafter frequently "the Act"), by a complaint filed on January 12, 2005, by the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, United States Department of Agriculture (hereinafter frequently "APHIS"), alleging that respondent Mele Taufa violated the Act and regulations promulgated under the Act.
- This is an administrative proceeding for the assessment of a civil penalty as authorized by 7 U.S.C. § 7734, for violations of the regulations governing the movement of fruits, vegetables, and flowers from Hawaii into the continental United States (7 C.F.R. § 318.13 et seq., specifically 7 C.F.R. §§ 318.13(b) and 318.13-2(a)); and the movement of plant pests (7 C.F.R. § 330.200) (hereinafter frequently "the regulations").
- [3] On January 13, 2005, the Hearing Clerk sent to respondent Mele Taufa, by certified mail, return receipt requested, a copy of the complaint and a copy of the Rules of Practice, together with a cover letter (service letter). Respondent Mele Taufa was informed in the service letter that an answer to the complaint should be filed in accordance with the Rules of

Practice within 20 days and that failure to answer any allegation in the complaint would constitute an admission of that allegation.

- [4] Respondent Mele Taufa received the complaint, Rules of Practice, and service letter on January 28, 2005, and failed to respond. The Rules of Practice provide that the failure to file an answer within the time provided under 7 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) shall be deemed an admission of the allegations in the Complaint. 7 C.F.R. §1.136(c). Further, the failure to file an answer constitutes a waiver of hearing. 7 C.F.R. § 1.139.
- [5] Accordingly, the material allegations in the complaint, which are admitted by respondent Mele Taufa's default, are adopted and set forth herein as Findings of Fact. This Decision and Order, therefore, is issued pursuant to section 1.139 of the Rules of Practice, 7 C.F.R. § 1.139. See 7 C.F.R. §1.130 et seq.; see also 7 C.F.R. §380.1 et seq.
- [6] APHIS filed a Motion for Adoption of Proposed Default Decision and Order on April 6, 2005, identifying APHIS's request for "a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500)". The Motion was sent to respondent Mele Taufa by the Hearing Clerk on April 7, 2005, by certified mail, return receipt requested, together with a cover letter.
- [7] Respondent Mele Taufa received the Motion and cover letter identified in paragraph [6] on April 16, 2005. Respondent Mele Taufa failed to respond to APHIS's Motion. APHIS's Motion states, among other things, that respondent Mele Taufa's actions

undermine the United States Department of Agriculture's efforts to prevent the introduction and/or spread of plant diseases and pests throughout the United States. The U.S. Department of Agriculture spends millions of dollars in efforts to control and eradicate these risks. Hawaii's unique ecosystem and environment contain plant pests and risks which are not present on the mainland and must be contained to avert serious plant pest and other plant health risks. In order to deter respondent and others similarly situated from committing violations of this nature in the future, Complainant (APHIS) believes that assessment of the requested civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500) against respondent, is warranted and appropriate.

- [8] Respondent Mele Taufa is an individual with a mailing address of P.O. Box 10087, Hilo, Hawaii 96721.
- [9] On or about March 27, 2003, at Hilo, Hawaii, respondent Mele Taufa offered to a common carrier, specifically FEDEX, approximately 18 Noni fruits, 4 pieces of bark, and 3 root cuttings for shipment from Hawaii to the continental United States, in violation of 7 C.F.R. §§ 318.13(b) and 318.13-2(a).
- [10] On or about March 27, 2003, respondent Mele Taufa knowingly attempted to move interstate from Hawaii to Utah via FEDEX a package of plumeria, tuberose, and gardenias, which were all infested with thrips, a plant pest, in violation of 7 C.F.R. § 330.200.

Conclusions

- [11] The Secretary of Agriculture has jurisdiction in this matter.
- [12] On or about March 27, 2003, respondent Mele Taufa violated the Plant Protection Act (7 U.S.C. § 7701 et seq.), and regulations issued under the Act (7 C.F.R. § 318.13 et seq., specifically 7 C.F.R. §§ 318.13(b) and 318.13-2(a); and 7 C.F.R. § 330.200).
- [13] A civil penalty in the amount of five hundred dollars (\$500) is appropriate, and the following Order is issued.

Order

[14] Respondent Mele Taufa is hereby assessed a civil penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500), as authorized by 7 U.S.C. § 7734. Respondent shall pay the \$500 by cashier's check or money order or certified check, made payable to the order of the "**Treasurer of the United States**" and forwarded within thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Order to:

United States Department of Agriculture APHIS Field Servicing Office Accounting Section P.O. Box 3334 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55403

Respondent shall indicate that payment is in reference to **P.Q. Docket No. 05-0018**.

[15] This Order shall be effective on the first day after this Decision and Order becomes

final. This Decision and Order shall have the same force and effect as if entered after a full

hearing and shall be final without further proceedings 35 days after service unless an appeal to

the Judicial Officer is filed within 30 days after service, pursuant to section 1.145 of the Rules

of Practice (7 C.F.R. § 1.145, see attached Appendix A).

Copies of this Decision and Order shall be served by the Hearing Clerk upon each of

the parties.

Done at Washington, D.C.

this 27th day of May 2005

Jill S. Clifton

Administrative Law Judge

Fax: 202-720-9776

APPENDIX A

7 C.F.R.:

TITLE 7—-AGRICULTURE

SUBTITLE A—-OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

PART 1—-ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS

. . .

SUBPART H—-RULES OF PRACTICE GOVERNING FORMAL

ADJUDICATORY PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY THE SECRETARY UNDER

VARIOUS STATUTES

.

§ 1.145 Appeal to Judicial Officer.

- (a) Filing of petition. Within 30 days after receiving service of the Judge's decision, if the decision is a written decision, or within 30 days after issuance of the Judge's decision, if the decision is an oral decision, a party who disagrees with the decision, any part of the decision, or any ruling by the Judge or who alleges any deprivation of rights, may appeal the decision to the Judicial Officer by filing an appeal petition with the Hearing Clerk. As provided in
- § 1.141(h)(2), objections regarding evidence or a limitation regarding examination or cross-examination or other ruling made before the Judge may be relied upon in an appeal. Each issue set forth in the appeal petition and the arguments regarding each issue shall be separately numbered; shall be plainly and concisely stated; and shall contain detailed citations to the record, statutes, regulations, or authorities being relied upon in support of each argument. A brief may be filed in support of the appeal simultaneously with the appeal petition.
- (b) Response to appeal petition. Within 20 days after the service of a copy of an appeal petition and any brief in support thereof, filed by a party to the proceeding, any other party may file with the Hearing Clerk a response in support of or in opposition to the appeal and in such response any relevant issue, not presented in the appeal petition, may be raised.
- (c) Transmittal of record. Whenever an appeal of a Judge's decision is filed and a response thereto has been filed or time for filing a response has expired, the Hearing Clerk shall transmit to the Judicial Officer the record of the proceeding. Such record shall include: the pleadings; motions and requests filed and rulings thereon; the transcript or recording of the testimony taken at the hearing, together with the exhibits filed in connection therewith; any documents or papers filed in connection with a pre-hearing conference; such proposed findings of fact, conclusions, and orders, and briefs in support thereof, as may have been filed in connection with the proceeding; the Judge's decision; such exceptions, statements of objections and briefs in support thereof as may have been filed in the proceeding; and the appeal petition, and such briefs in support thereof and responses thereto as may have been

filed in the proceeding.

- (d) *Oral argument*. A party bringing an appeal may request, within the prescribed time for filing such appeal, an opportunity for oral argument before the Judicial Officer. Within the time allowed for filing a response, appellee may file a request in writing for opportunity for such an oral argument. Failure to make such request in writing, within the prescribed time period, shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. The Judicial Officer may grant, refuse, or limit any request for oral argument. Oral argument shall not be transcribed unless so ordered in advance by the Judicial Officer for good cause shown upon request of a party or upon the Judicial Officer's own motion.
- (e) *Scope of argument*. Argument to be heard on appeal, whether oral or on brief, shall be limited to the issues raised in the appeal or in the response to the appeal, except that if the Judicial Officer determines that additional issues should be argued, the parties shall be given reasonable notice of such determination, so as to permit preparation of adequate arguments on all issues to be argued.
- (f) *Notice of argument; postponement*. The Hearing Clerk shall advise all parties of the time and place at which oral argument will be heard. A request for postponement of the argument must be made by motion filed a reasonable amount of time in advance of the date fixed for argument.
 - (g) Order of argument. The appellant is entitled to open and conclude the argument.
- (h) *Submission on briefs*. By agreement of the parties, an appeal may be submitted for decision on the briefs, but the Judicial Officer may direct that the appeal be argued orally.
- (i) Decision of the [J]udicial [O]fficer on appeal. As soon as practicable after the receipt of the record from the Hearing Clerk, or, in case oral argument was had, as soon as practicable thereafter, the Judicial Officer, upon the basis of and after due consideration of the record and any matter of which official notice is taken, shall rule on the appeal. If the Judicial Officer decides that no change or modification of the Judge's decision is warranted, the Judicial Officer may adopt the Judge's decision as the final order in the proceeding, preserving any right of the party bringing the appeal to seek judicial review of such decision in the proper forum. A final order issued by the Judicial Officer shall be filed with the Hearing Clerk. Such order may be regarded by the respondent as final for purposes of judicial review without filing a petition for rehearing, reargument, or reconsideration of the decision of the Judicial Officer.

[42 FR 743, Jan. 4, 1977, as amended at 60 FR 8456, Feb. 14, 1995; 68 FR 6341, Feb. 7, 2003]

7 C.F.R. § 1.145